157

A14)

A15)

A16)

A17)

ving:

(B1)

(B2)

(B3)

(B4)

(B5)

VOLUME 157, NUMBER 2

Seo Merill

10 MAY 1967

High-Pressure Study of the First-Order Phase Transition in MnAs

J. B. GOODENOUGH AND J. A. KAFALAS

Lincoln Laboratory,* Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Lexington, Massachusetts

(Received 21 November 1966)

The first-order magnetic-transition temperature in MnAs has been measured as a function of both increasing and decreasing pressure. A critical pressure $P_c \sim 4.6$ kbar has been obtained for the range of stability of the hexagonal phase. The pressure hysteresis increases with decreasing temperatures. Cooling to 77°K under 5 kbar and then releasing pressure gives the B31 phase at atmospheric pressure, and it remains stable on heating to 138°K, where there is an increase of magnetization of over a factor of 50. These data, together with earlier magnetic measurements on the system $MnAs_{1-x}P_x$, demonstrate that the origin of the first-order phase transition is a large exchange striction in the basal planes plus a volume-dependent Weiss molecular field and manganese moment. This volume dependence is due to electron rearrangements associated with a high-spin-to-low-spin transition. The sharpness of the high-spin-to-low-spin transition cannot be accounted for by variations in crystal-field splitting with volume. It is suggested that a bandwidth is increasing with decreasing volume through the maximum bandwidth for spontaneous band ferromagnetism. The critical band appears to consist of t_{\pm} orbitals, which are primarily influenced by the Mn-Mn interactions within basal planes.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE magnetic and structural properties of MnAs L are summarized in Table I. The significant features are: (1) There is a first-order phase change (latent heat 1.79 cal/g) at the ferromagnetic Curie temperature T_c from the hexagonal NiAs (B81) structure at $T < T_c$ to the orthorhombic MnP (B31) structure at $T > T_c$. There is a discontinuous loss of ferromagnetism at T_c , and extrapolation to $T > T_c$ of magnetization versus temperature with a Brillouin function gives an extrapolated Curie temperature for the low-temperature phase $T_c(ext) \approx 127^{\circ}$ C. (2) At a $T_t \approx 127^{\circ}$ C, there is a second-order $B31 \rightleftharpoons B8_1$ transition. There is a maximum in the magnetic susceptibility at T_t , but MnAs is not antiferromagnetic in the interval $T_c < T < T_i$. Rather there is a change in the magnitude of the manganese moment $(d\mu/dT>0)$ in this interval. (3) The high-temperature $(T > T_i)$ hexagonal phase exhibits a Curie-Weiss behavior with a $\mu_{eff} = 4.95 \mu_B$ and a $\theta_f \approx$ 10°C. Since any ferromagnetic temperature is always smaller than θ_f , this implies that the net ferromagnetic coupling in this phase is smaller than that in the lowtemperature $(T < T_c)$ hexagonal phase, which has a $T_{\rm c}({\rm ext}) \approx 127^{\circ}{\rm C}$. (4) A discontinuity of 1.86% in the density at T_c is caused by an expansion in the basal plane below T_c . (5) The B31 structure is derived from the $B8_1$ structure by a displacement of the manganese atoms out of the centers of symmetry of their arsenic interstices to make one shortest manganese-arsenic bond. Alternate [11, 0] rows of manganese are displaced toward one another primarily within the basal planes, and along the hexagonal ch axis metal atoms in alternate basal planes are displaced in opposite directions, as shown in Fig. 1.

Three explanations of the first-order phase change at T_c have been suggested. (1) Kittel¹ developed a thermo-

* Operated with support from the U.S. Air Force.

dynamic theory involving "exchange inversion" to explain the antiferromagnetic \rightleftharpoons ferrimagnetic transition in Mn_{2-x}Cr_xSb and suggested it might be applicable to MnAs. The lack of antiferromagnetic order in the interval $T_c < T < T_t$ rules out this possibility. (2) Bean



FIG. 1. The orthorhombic B31 structure of MnP.

and Rodbell² proposed a thermodynamic theory involving a net ferromagnetic exchange interaction that is sensitive to molar volume, so that

$$T_{c} = T_{0} [1 + \beta (V - V_{0}) / V_{0}].$$
(1)

This motivated DeBlois and Rodbell³ to measure T_c as a function of pressure P and applied field strength Hin the ranges $15 < T < 65^{\circ}$ C, 0 < P < 1 kbar, and 0 < H <110 kOe. They appeared to obtain a qualitative match between theory and experiment. (3) Goodenough⁴ pointed out how the two transitions, $B_1 \rightleftharpoons B31$ at T_c

⁴ J. B. Goodenough, M.I.T. Lincoln Laboratory, Lexington, Massachusetts, Technical Report No. 345, DDC 435758, 1964 (unpublished).

157

(B7)

(B6)

- (B8)

(B9)

¹ C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 120, 335 (1960).

^{57 389}

² C. P. Bean and D. S. Rodbell, Phys. Rev. **126**, 104 (1962). ³ R. W. DeBlois and D. W. Rodbell, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1347 (1963).